

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,946	11/30/2001	Taeko Hayase	0445-0313P	3991	
2292	7590 10/30/2006	•	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	JRCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUME		
			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astinus Communication	09/996,946	HAYASE ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-11,14,15,18-20,22 and 23 is/are	e pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2-4,6-11,14-15,18-20,22-23</u> is/are r	ejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	' ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 09/996,946

Art Unit: 1771

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 2

2. Claims 1, 9-10, 14-15, 18, 22-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-212866 as set forth in the previous action in view of either of JP 03-279452 or JP 02-112460 and further in view of Textile Glossary definition of cellulosic fibers. JP '866 discloses an airlaid nonwoven comprising thermoplastic fibers having a length of 3-25 mm, a fineness of 0.5-50 denier and a crimp number of 5-30. The fibers may be conjugate fibers. The fibers are present in an amount of from 3-50%. The airlaid nonwoven further comprises 50-97% of cellulosic fibers. See page 6. The nonwoven may be bonded at the crossover points. See pages 29-30. JP '9666 is silent regarding the umber of tips of the thermoplastic fibers which are exposed on the surface. However, since JP '866 teaches the same fabric which is made by the same method as the instant fabric and which comprises the same components in the same amounts, it is reasonable to presume that JP '866 would have the same number of tips, since the specification teaches that the tips are provided by forming the material by the particular method and with the particular materials claimed. With regard to the new limitation that the airlaid nonwoven fabric is laminated to a liquid retentive sheet, JP '866 teaches at page 31 that the airlaid nonwoven disclosed by JP '866 can be laminated to another layer such as a cellulosic fabric such as wool, silk, linen or cotton, or to a paper layer. These layers correspond to the claimed liquid retentive sheet. JP '866 differs from the claimed invention because JP '866 does not teach incorporating thin fibers into the wipe, (although JP '866 does teach fusion bonding the conjugate fibers at crossover

Art Unit: 1771

points, which corresponds to the limitation regarding the fusion bonding of the thick fibers). Both JP '460 and JP '452 teach that nonwoven wipes which comprise both thick and thin fibers have excellent tensile strength. See abstracts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated fine fibers into the wipe of JP '866. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate the fine fibers into the nonwoven of JP '866 by the expectation that this would form a nonwoven having enhanced strength. With regard to the particular dtex and fiber length, although JP '460 and JP '452 disclose the fine fibers in terms of the diameter rather than the dtex, it appears that the fibers having a diameter of 0.1-8 microns would meet the claim limitation, and with regard to the length of the fibers, it would have been obvious to have selected the fibers so that the lengths of the fine and coarse fibers were similar or the same in order to facilitate the mixing of the two types of fibers. With regard to the limitation that the fabric comprises intersections of thick and thin fibers, since both JP '460 and '452 teach forming wipes from both thick and thin fibers, once the thin fibers were incorporated into the wipe of JP '866 the bonding at crossover points would necessarily be present, especially since JP '866 teaches bonding at crossover points, (pages 29-30). With regard to claim 21, JP '866 teaches 50-97% cellulosic fibers. With regard to claims 22-23, it is noted that JP '866 teaches employing cellulosic fibers. As set forth in the attached Textile Glossary. examples of cellulosic fibers are cotton and regenerated cellulose or rayon. Therefore, since JP '866 teaches cellulosic fibers broadly, then the use of any of the particular types of cellulosic fibers would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,946

Art Unit: 1771

4774

3. Claims 2 and 11, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-212866 in view of either of JP 03-279452 or JP 02-112460 as applied to claims 1, 9-10, 14-15, 18, 22-23 above, and further in view of WO 01/52713 to Kakiuchi et al as set forth in the previous action. With regard to claim 19-20, WO '713 teaches a detergent comprising an electrolyte which is applied to wipes.

Page 4

- 4. Claims 3-4, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-212866 in view of either of JP 03-279452 or JP 02-112460 as applied to claims 1, 9-10, 14-15, 18, 22-23 above, and further in view of Kobayashi et al, EP 926,288 as set forth in the previous action.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. With regard to the art rejection, Applicant argues that none of the references teach thick and thin fibers having the claimed length and dtex. However, JP '866 teaches fibers having a length of 3-25 mm and a fineness of 0.5-50 denier which would encompass the claimed dtex of the thick fibers. Applicant argues that JP '866 does not teach bonding at the intersections of the thick and thin fibers. However, JP '866 teaches bonding at the crossover points of the fibers, but does not include the thin fibers. Both JP '460 and '452 teach mixing thin and thick fibers in order to form a cloth having improved tensile strength. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teaching of both JP '460 and '452 would have been motivated to include fibers having the claimed fineness in the airlaid nonwoven of JP '866. Once the fibers were present there would necessarily be bonding between the thick and thin fibers so that the sheet

Art Unit: 1771

had structural integrity. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the fine fibers the same general length as the thick fibers which were already present in JP '866 in order to form a nonwoven wherein the two types of fibers could be easily mixed.

- Applicant argues that JP '866 is silent as to removing soil by scraping or scouring by the thick fibers. However, JP '866 teaches a cleaning sheet which comprises the thick fibers, so whether or not it states that the fibers clean by scraping, since the fibers are present and are used in a cleaning sheet, they would presumably have to function the same way, (by scraping or scouring). Further, since JP '866 teaches a sheet made from fibers having the same length and fineness, the sheet of JP '866 would necessarily have the number of tips.
- 8. Applicant argues that JP '866 fails to teach the combination of thick and thin fibers with cellulosic fibers. However, JP "866 teaches the combination of thick fibers and cellulosic fibers. Both JP '460 and '452 teach adding the thin fibers as set forth above. Applicant argues that JP '460 and '452 are silent as to the presence of cellulosic fibers. However, JP '866 teaches this feature. JP '460 and '452 provide the teaching of adding the thin fibers to the nonwoven of JP '866 which already has the thick fibers and the cellulosic fibers. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 09/996,946

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to use the thin fibers of the two

secondary references in the cleaning sheet of JP '866. However, JP '452 and '460

Page 6

both teach that the material is useful as a wiper. With regard to the fabrics being

meltblown, the abstracts do not seem to say that the fabrics are meltblown. Further,

Applicant argues that JP '452 and '460 does not teach the thick fibers. However, JP

'866 has the thick fibers.

10. Applicant argues that the two secondary references do not teach the claimed

size of the thin fibers. However, with regard to the particular dtex and fiber length,

although JP '460 and JP '452 disclose the fine fibers in terms of the diameter rather

than the dtex, it appears that the fibers having a diameter of 0.1-8 microns would meet

the claim limitation, and with regard to the length of the fibers, it would have been

obvious to have selected the fibers so that the lengths of the fine and coarse fibers were

similar or the same in order to facilitate the mixing of the two types of fibers.

11. Applicant argues that the secondary references do not teach the dtex of the thick

fibers. However, JP '866 already teaches the claimed size of the thick fibers.

12. Applicant argues that JP '866 does not teach the claimed laminated sheet.

However, as set forth above, JP '866 teaches this embodiment at page 31 of the

translation.

13. Applicant argues that JP '866 does not teach crimping the thin fibers. However,

JP '866 does teach crimping the fibers which are used to make the cleaning sheet.

Since JP '866 does not teach the thin fibers, it cannot teach crimping the thin fibers.

However, since both secondary references teach the thin fibers, it would have been

Art Unit: 1771

obvious to have crimped the added thin fibers, for the same reason that the thick fibers are crimped in JP '866, which is to improve the bulk of the sheet and the bonding of the fibers. See pages 19-20 of the translation.

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c